Docket No.: 248499US8/nks

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Toshihiro SUZUKI, et al.

SERIAL NUMBER: 10/770,707 GROUP: 2464

FILED: February 4, 2004 EXAMINER: SAMUEL, Dewanda A.

FOR: MOBILE COMMUNICATION CONTROL SYSTEM, NETWORK MANAGEMENT

SERVER, MOBILE NODE, ACCESS NODE AND ANCHOR NODE

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication of allowability of the claimed invention. In response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowance of October 30, 2009, Applicants respectfully submit the following comments.

In the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance on pages 3 and 4 of the Notice of Allowance mailed October 30, 2009, Paragraph 2 states in part:

[T]he prior art fails to anticipate or render obvious the following recited specific features in claim 1 and similar claims 2,3,4,5,6,8,9,12,13,15,16 and 18 limitations: "an address manager configured to manage a first address and a second address of a destination mobile terminal connected to the mobile node via a radio link, the first address of the destination mobile terminal being a home address of the destination mobile terminal, the second address being an address indicating a destination access node allocated to the destination mobile terminal and the third address being an address indicating the mobile node allocated to the destination mobile terminal; an address changer configured to replace a destination address in a header of a packet transmitted from the source mobile terminal without increasing the size of the header, the first address of the destination mobile terminal replaced by the second address of the destination mobile terminal".

Although the above comment seems to be directed to independent Claims 1 and 9, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claims 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 18 do not include all of the elements recited above. For example, Claims 4, 5 and 13 do not recite "the first address of the destination mobile terminal being a home address" and "an address changer." Claim 6 does not recite "the first address of the destination mobile terminal being a home address," "third address being an address indicating the mobile node allocated to the destination mobile terminal" and "an address changer configured to replace a destination address in a header of a packet." Claim 8 does not recite "the first address of the destination mobile terminal being a home address" and "an address changer configured to replace a destination address in a header of a packet." Claim 12 recites the first and third address of the "new mobile terminal" and not the "destination mobile terminal," and further does not recite "an address changer." Claim 15 recites that the address changer replaces "the second address of the destination mobile terminal" with "the third address of the destination mobile terminal" and not "the first address of the destination mobile terminal replaced by the second address of the destination mobile terminal." Claim 18 does not recite "third address being an address indicating the mobile node allocated to the destination mobile terminal" and "an address changer configured to replace a destination address in a header of a packet."

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the above quoted statement applies only to independent Claims 1 and 9 (and claims dependent therefrom), and not to independent Claims 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 18 (and claims dependent therefrom), to the extent the language used in the statement differs from the language of the claims.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 07/09) Craig R. Feinberg

Registration No. 62,116